Assessment of CaMV-mediated gene silencing and integration of CaMV into GM plants with a 35S RNA promoter
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Four GM plant species (Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica napus, Nicotiana benthamiana and N. tabacum), each expressing the gene encoding the jellyfish green fluorescent protein (GFP) regulated by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S RNA promoter, were assessed for the extent of transgene silencing and viral genome integration following infection by CaMV. The first three species are systemic hosts of CaMV, while N. tabacum is only a local host for a few strains of CaMV. A generalized systemic silencing of the GFP transgene was not observed in a total of 100 plants of each species infected with CaMV, although some localized loss of GFP was observed in CaMV-infected N. benthamiana leaves, and some loss of fluorescence was observed in older leaves of uninfected as well as infected plants. Progeny seedlings obtained from the above infected plants also did not exhibit transgene silencing showing that virus infection did not affect the stability of the transgene. These progeny plants also did not show signs of virus infection, indicating that the presence of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter sequences in the plant genome did not potentiate seed transmission of the virus. Integration of infective CaMV into the CaMV 35S RNA promoter could not be detected in 944 samples taken from leaves of the above infected plant species or in 2912 samples taken from progeny seedlings. Based on a detection limit of one copy per 4000 haploid genomes, we conclude that if integration of virus does occur into the CaMV 35S RNA promoter, then it occurs at such a low frequency as to be insignificant.
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INTRODUCTION

Most of the GM plants found in the fields of the various countries propagating them contain transgenes regulated in their expression by the 35S RNA promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV). This is also true of many GM plants still under development in various laboratories. This viral promoter functions constitutively in all tissues tested and is one of the most active promoters found in plants (Jefferson et al., 1987). While plant gene promoters that are inducible and/or tissue specific have been described, and some of these have been used for gene expression in transgenic plants, the CaMV 35S RNA promoter is still the workhorse of choice for the expression of many transgenes. However, some concerns have been raised about the use of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter and possible risks it may pose to human health and to the environment (Ho et al., 1999). The concerns were responded to (Hull et al., 2000; Morel and Tepfer, 2000) and the responses were received with renewed criticism (Ho et al., 2000). Therefore, some of the issues raised have been assessed by additional experimentation. The CaMV 35S RNA promoter has been assessed for its ability to function in mammalian cells (Tepfer et al., 2004; Vlasák et al., 2003), as was done previously in bacteria (Assaad and Signer, 1990), yeast (Pobjecky et al., 1990; Rüth et al., 1992; 1994), fungi (Sun et al., 2002) and toad eggs (Ballas et al., 1989). Nevertheless, while the CaMV 35S RNA promoter is active to different extents in these various organisms, to date there is still no evidence supporting the scenario envisioned by Ho et al. (1999; 2000) for the risks to human or animal health by using the CaMV 35S RNA promoter in GM plants. However, two other questions raised by Ho and colleagues (1999) have not been adequately investigated experimentally. They questioned whether the CaMV 35S RNA promoter functions as a hot spot for integration of infective CaMV into plant genomes, and whether infective CaMV could have an effect on the expression and/or stability of transgenes regulated by the 35S RNA promoter.
Studies examining the effects of infection of transgenic oilseed rape (OSR; *Brassica napus*) by CaMV have shown that the transgene expression can be inhibited late during infection by both transcriptional gene silencing and post-transcriptional gene silencing, depending on the absence or presence, respectively, of CaMV transcription regulation sequences (Al-Kaff et al., 1998). This silencing occurred in OSR at the same time as recovery from infection became apparent. Furthermore, whether transgene silencing occurred and the extent of transgene silencing were shown to depend upon the strain of CaMV used and at what time infection occurred during the growth cycle of the plants, respectively (Al-Kaff et al., 2000). However, it is not known to what extent such transgene silencing would occur in other plant species that are susceptible to CaMV, especially those unlike OSR, where recovery from infection does not occur, or whether a strain of CaMV that does not silence transgene expression in OSR also does not do so in other plant species. Moreover, transgenic plant species that do not support a progressive infection by CaMV have not been evaluated for possible effects on the expression of transgenes driven by the CaMV 35S RNA promoter caused by RNA silencing mediated by CaMV infection. Therefore, this study was undertaken to examine whether infection by CaMV resulted in silencing of 35S RNA promoter-driven transgene expression in other species, and whether integration of CaMV occurred into the 35S RNA promoter during infection of GM plants that express a transgene driven by the CaMV 35S RNA promoter.

**RESULTS**

**Description of the system**

For these analyses, four species of plants were used, expressing the green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the regulation of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter and the nos terminator. The plant species were *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *B. napus* (OSR), *Nicotiana benthamiana* and *N. tabacum* (tobacco). *A. thaliana* and *B. napus* are members of the family *Brassicaceae* and are hosts of CaMV. OSR is a major crop species, while *A. thaliana* is an experimental plant species for which the nucleotide sequence of the entire genome has been determined. *N. benthamiana* and *N. tabacum* are members of the family *Solanaceae*, of which only a few species are infected by a limited number of CaMV strains. However, both of these plant species also are experimental host plants that have been used for studies involving transgenic plants. In the case of tobacco, such studies have extended to the field. While *N. benthamiana* can be infected systemically by some strains of CaMV, tobacco cannot, and tobacco either shows no infection, or infection limited to the inoculated leaf.

Transgenic plants that expressed a gene encoding the GFP under the control of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter were inoculated with either CaMV strain W260 (for local infection of tobacco) (Gracia and Shepherd, 1985) or the chimeric CaMV H7 (Schoelz et al., 1986a), in which gene VI of the aphid non-transmissible strain CM 1841 had been replaced with gene VI of the solanaceous-infecting strain D4 (for infection of *A. thaliana*, OSR and *N. benthamiana*). Gene VI affects both host range and pathogenicity (reviewed by Schoelz, 2006). The disease induced by the chimeric CaMV strain H7 was generally mild in *A. thaliana*, OSR and *N. benthamiana*, although responses in individual plants varied from mild to lethal. By contrast, CaMV strain W260 did not induce any symptoms on tobacco.

**Assessment of transgene silencing in systemically infected plant species**

**Transgene silencing in OSR**

Fifty GM OSR plants expressing the GFP were infected with CaMV strain H7 in each of two separate experiments, and the extent of transgene expression was assessed by examining the level of GFP accumulation at 10-day intervals up to 70 or 90 days post inoculation (dpi), relative to 10 mock-inoculated, control GM OSR plants. This was done by examining the level of GFP fluorescence with a binocular microscope equipped with a camera capable of quantifying the fluorescence intensity. The results from the first experiment (Fig. 1A) and the second experiment (Fig. 1B) were essentially the same; in both experiments, there was considerable variation in the fluorescence intensity between individual plants receiving the same treatment, although this level of variation was not significantly different in mock-inoculated vs. CaMV-infected plants. Some reduction in fluorescence of the GFP was seen in the oldest leaves but this occurred in both mock-inoculated and CaMV-infected plants (Fig. 2) and was observed previously for transgene expression driven by a CaMV 35S RNA promoter (Mitsuhara et al., 1996). The inoculated leaves of both virus-infected and mock-inoculated plants showed a decrease of green fluorescence with time (Fig. 1B). Otherwise, there was no generalized effect that could be interpreted as silencing of transgene expression observed following infection by this mild strain of CaMV, in contrast to that reported for the severe strain Cabb B-JI (Al-Kaff et al., 1998). This is unlikely to be due to different environmental conditions, since other strains of CaMV also did not silence transgene expression, regardless of whether they were mild or severe (Al-Kaff et al., 2000).

Seeds obtained from four CaMV-infected OSR plants showing reduction in GFP fluorescence were germinated and their fluorescence again was screened. All seedlings
CaMV-mediated transgene inactivation and integration

Figure 1. Mean fluorescence of CaMV 35S RNA promoted GFP plants measured at various days post inoculation under UV light at 5x magnification in two separate experiments. *A. thaliana* [At], *N. benthamiana* [Nb] and *B. napus* [OSR] plants were inoculated with CaMV strain H7 and *N. tabacum* [Nt] plants were inoculated with CaMV strain W260. Mock inoculated plants are shown as empty bars. Virus inoculated plants are shown as black bars. Error bars are +/- standard deviation. In the first experiment (A), only upper systemic leaves (s) were examined, while in the second experiment (B), both inoculated leaf samples (i) and upper or systemic leaf samples (s) were examined. In experiment (B), the average background fluorescence for no-GM plants was 42 for *A. thaliana*, and 39 for *N. benthamiana* and *B. napus*.

exhibited a typical profile of GFP fluorescence, and did not show any evidence supporting transgene silencing or virus infection (data not shown).

Transgene silencing in *A. thaliana*

Fifty *A. thaliana* plants were inoculated with CaMV strain H7 in two separate experiments and were assessed for effects on GFP accumulation at 30 dpi. Infection by CaMV strain H7 killed 10 of the 50 plants from the first experiment, but led to severe symptoms with production of flower stalks, flowers and siliques in most of the remaining 40 CaMV-infected plants (Fig. 3). In two of these plants, reduction of GFP expression was observed in the rosette and cauline leaves and flower stalks (data not shown). For the surviving plants, there was no other difference observed in fluorescence recorded with
Figure 2. *B. napus* [oilseed rape] expressing 35S-driven GFP, 50 days post inoculation with CaMV H7 (A) or mock inoculated (B). Both plants showed silencing (lack of green fluorescence) only in the lower regions of the plant (arrows). (C) Non-GM oilseed rape plants. All plants were photographed under UV light using a Kodak Wratten 58 Tricolor Green Filter.

Figure 3. *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing 35S-driven GFP. Plants were photographed under UV light using a Kodak Wratten 58 Tricolor Green Filter, 30 days post inoculation with CaMV H7 (B) or mock inoculated (A). Lower regions of both plants showed GFP silencing, with siliques and new flower initials glowing (arrows), as compared with non-GM *A. thaliana* plants (C).

the binocular microscope and camera, but there was individual plant variation in the level of fluorescence (Fig. 1). Loss of fluorescence was observed in the lower parts of the plants, but this was seen in both mock-inoculated and virus-infected plants (Fig. 3). These plants were assessed for suppression of transgene mRNA expression vs. possible modification or loss of the transgene, by examining expression of the transgene in the next generation. Seeds (10) obtained from the first experiment of all the surviving *A. thaliana* plants were sown and germinated and the seedlings were screened for fluorescence. All showed similar fluorescence, indicating that any reduced fluorescence observed was not carried through to the next generation. This indicates that any such effect was transient in the infected population, and was not affecting the stability of the transgene in subsequent generations.
In the second experiment, infection by CaMV strain H7 killed eight of the 50 plants, but led to severe symptoms with production of flower stalks, flowers and siliques in most of the remaining 42 CaMV-infected plants. In one of these latter plants, loss of GFP accumulation was observed in the rosette and cauline leaves and flower stalks, with only the basal root expressing GFP (data not shown). Two of these plants showed atypical growth forms, one being extremely stunted, with very hairy leaves, while the second plant exhibited thickened stems and delayed flowering, typical of the effect of the *clavata* gene (not shown). For the remaining 40 plants, there was no other difference observed in fluorescence recorded with the binocular microscope and camera, but there was individual plant variation (Fig. 1). Loss of fluorescence also was observed in the lower parts of the plants, although again this was seen in both mock-inoculated and virus-infected plants.

These second experiment plants were assessed for suppression of transgene mRNA expression vs. potential loss or modification of the transgene, by examining expression of the transgene in the next generation. Fifteen *A. thaliana* seedlings of each of the forty plants were germinated and followed through the various stages of plant development. The progeny plants developed normally and showed GFP fluorescence, seed set and growth (data not presented).

In the case of the two *A. thaliana* plants that had abnormal development after infection with CaMV (out of 50 infected plants), the plant that was extremely stunted with hairy leaves did not set seed. However, the plant with a thickened stem and delayed flowering set seed that germinated normally and produced normal plants that also expressed (35S-driven) GFP. Therefore, the phenotype observed in the parental plants was a manifestation of viral infection and not due to any permanent effect on the plant genome.

**Transgene silencing in N. benthamiana**

Fifty GFP-expressing, GM *N. benthamiana* plants were infected with CaMV strain H7 in two separate experiments, and the extent of GFP accumulation was assessed at 10-day intervals up to 70 or 90 dpi, relative to 10 mock-inoculated, control GM *N. benthamiana* plants. As in the other host species above, there was variation in the extent of fluorescence between different plants undergoing the same treatment, whether they were infected or not (Fig. 1). While there was no evidence for general suppression of GFP expression, loss of fluorescence again was observed in the oldest leaves of older plants, and again this occurred in both mock-inoculated (not shown) and CaMV-infected plants (Fig. 4A). However, limited, localized loss of green fluorescence also was observed in upper leaves of plants systemically infected with CaMV, but not in the upper leaves of mock-inoculated plants. This was manifested in loss of fluorescence occurring in chlorotic lesions formed in response to infection by CaMV (Fig. 4B). The loss of fluorescence did not spread beyond these lesions to the adjacent tissues, and therefore did not affect the recorded fluorescence quantification (Fig. 1). It is not know why these chlorotic lesions showed loss of GFP accumulation. The observation was not investigated further.

**Assessment of transgene silencing in locally infected tobacco**

Most of the GM plant species generated to date that express genes under the regulation of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter are not systemic hosts of CaMV. Many transgenes have been expressed in tobacco, which is resistant to systemic infection by CaMV, and also resistant to local infection by most strains of CaMV (Goldberg et al., 1991; Gracia and Shepherd, 1985). Therefore, we used CaMV strain W260, as this was capable of limited local infection in tobacco, to examine the effect that local CaMV infection might have on the local and systemic expression of a transgene regulated by the CaMV 35S RNA promoter. In two independent experiments, 50 GFP-expressing GM tobacco plants were infected with CaMV strain W260, and the extent of GFP accumulation was assessed at 30 dpi, relative to GFP accumulation in non-infected plants (Fig. 1). In the second experiment, the effect on GFP accumulation also was assessed in non-infected upper leaves of the inoculated tobacco plants (Fig. 1B). Inoculated leaves could not be assessed much beyond 30 dpi, since they then became senescent. Some CaMV-infected plants did show a slight reduction in fluorescence, but no significant difference in GFP accumulation was observed in either the inoculated leaves or the non-infected upper leaves of most plants (Fig. 1). Seeds taken from six selected plants showing a slight reduction in GFP accumulation were germinated. The progeny plants did not show loss of GFP expression, but did show a slight reduction in fluorescence, indicating that they were accumulating slightly less GFP. Therefore, the above result is likely to be a consequence of either individual or somaclonal variation in expression of GFP.

**Assessment of viral integration into the CaMV 35S RNA promoter in GM plants**

Although a generalized effect on GFP transgene expression was not observed here, lower levels of fluorescence...
Figure 4. *N. benthamiana* expressing 35S-driven GFP. (A) A 35S-GFP GM plant showing fluorescence in the upper leaves and stems, but silencing of GFP expression in the lower leaves of the plant (arrows), 50 days post inoculation with CaMV H7. (B) A non-infected, non-GFP plant. (C) Close up of a leaf showing GFP silenced regions across the leaf at sites of chlorotic lesions (arrows), 90 days post inoculation with CaMV H7. Plants were viewed and photographed under UV light using a Kodak Wratten 58 Tricolor Green Filter.

seen in some plants may have been a consequence of either plant responses to virus infection or integration of the virus into the homologous CaMV 35S RNA promoter sequence in some, but clearly not most cells of the infected plants. Before examining whether CaMV could integrate into the genome of GM plants (whether hosts or non-hosts of CaMV), we first had to establish a detection endpoint for such putative integration. Therefore, decreasing amounts of 35S-GFP OSR tissues either alone, or mixed with a constant amount of non-GM OSR tissues were extracted, and the DNAs were subjected to the PCR using primers designed to detect the CaMV 35S RNA promoter. Our extraction and PCR methods were sensitive enough to detect 0.1 mg of tissue containing the CaMV 35S RNA promoter in a total of 200 mg of plant tissues (Fig. 5). That is, we have the capacity to detect the equivalent of one cell containing integrated sequences in 2000 cells (or the equivalent of one copy per 4000 haploid
Figure 5. Analysis of dilution endpoint for detection of CaMV 35S RNA promoter sequences. The 35S RNA promoter PCR products (5 μL) were analyzed after 40 cycles on a 2% agarose gel run at 70 V for 30 min. Samples: (1) M = molecular weight markers; (2–11) DNAs obtained from the following tissues: (2) 100 mg (NonGFP) plus 100 mg (GFP); (3) 190 mg (NonGFP) : 10 mg (GFP); (4) 199 mg (NonGFP) : 1 mg (GFP); (5) 199.9 mg (NonGFP) : 0.1 mg (GFP); (6) 100 mg (GFP); (7) 10 mg (GFP); (8) 1 mg (GFP); (9) 0.1 mg (GFP); (10) chloroform only extraction (GFP); (11) phenol/chloroform extraction (GFP); (12) no template control; (13) M = Promega 100 bp markers. Arrow = expected band of 194 bp.

CaMV-mediated transgene inactivation and integration

The GM plants that then were assessed for integration of CaMV into the CaMV 35S RNA promoter were *A. thaliana*, OSR, *N. benthamiana* and tobacco, all expressing the gene encoding the GFP under the control of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter. DNAs were extracted from infected plants of each species, and were assessed for integration at 30 days after inoculation of *A. thaliana* (10 plants) and tobacco (10 plants), and at 30, 60 and 90 days after inoculation of OSR (10 plants) and *N. benthamiana* (10 plants). Both the directly-infected GM plants and progeny seedlings of the infected GM plants were analyzed. The PCR was done using primers designed to bind to gene VI of CaMV (as the forward primer), and to a site within the GFP gene in the plant DNA (as the reverse primer) (Fig. 6).

This primer combination did not amplify DNA using either purified CaMV DNA, or DNA extracted from non-infected GM or non-GM plants of all four species (data not shown).

Since the extent of recombination leading to integration would be heavily influenced by the degree of sequence identity between the virus and the CaMV sequences present in the 35S RNA promoters, we examined the level of sequence identity between the viruses used here and the CaMV sequences present in the transgene promoters. All four transgenic species were transformed with T-DNAs that originally derived from the cassette of the 35S-GFP gene generated by Haseloff et al. (1997) in the transformation vector pBl121 (Jefferson et al., 1987), replacing the GUS gene with the GFP gene. The pBl121 vector was a modification of the vector pBIN19 containing the CaMV sequences including the 35S RNA promoter, described by Bevan et al. (1985), as modified further by Baulcombe et al. (1986). Thus, pBl121 contained 948 bp derived from CaMV (sequences 6494–7441). The transcription initiation start site is located at position 7435 (Guilley et al., 1982) and the core promoter is located within 46 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site, but transcription enhancer sequences are located between 89 and 148 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site (Odell et al., 1985; Ow et al., 1987). Thus, the transformation vectors contained an additional ∼790 bp of CaMV sequences that were not necessary for genome copies). No such PCR products were obtained from CaMV-infected plants or non-GM plants of all four species (data not shown).

…”
transcription mediated by the 35S RNA promoter. Within the 948 bp of CaMV sequences present in the transgenic plants, derived from strain Cabb-BS (Franck et al., 1980), the levels of sequence similarities to the DNAs of CaMV strains D4 (the source of the corresponding sequences in the hybrid strain H7) and W260 were 96.0 and 96.6%, respectively (Tab. 2), with the longest contiguous stretch of sequence identity being 185 and 136 bp between strains Cabb-BS and D4, and 185 and 83 bp between strains Cabb-BS and W260. These strains and other well-characterized strains of CaMV (Cabb B-JI, and CM1841) varied in sequence from each other in this region by 0.8% to 5.4% (Tab. 2). Thus, the degree of sequence identity between these strains and the CaMV sequences present in the transgenic plants was quite high and sufficient to allow homologous recombination to occur.

To ensure that the samples analyzed were amplifiable, and to rule out poor quality template as the reason for not detecting any integration, a subset of samples (344) covering all four plant species, different sampling time-points and the progeny seedlings were amplified for the presence of the integrated CaMV 35S RNA promoter and GFP gene. These data showed that the template was of amplifiable quality and quantity for all samples tested (data not presented). Therefore, despite the high level of sequence identity between the infecting CaMV genome...
and the CaMV 35S RNA promoter present in each infected cell, integration, if it does occur, is at such a low frequency as to be undetectable by this approach.

DISCUSSION

Two questions concerning the use of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter to drive transgene expression have been addressed in this study: does its presence as part of a transgene in crop plants potentiate the integration of infecting CaMV to a significant degree, and/or could it be susceptible to silencing by infective CaMV? The results do not support the former contention, and indicate that the latter occurs only under limited circumstances.

Previous work concerning integration of CaMV into plant genomes did not detect any integration of the CaMV genome into the genome of its non-GM host plants to any measurable frequency (Gal et al., 1992; Schoelz and Wientermantel, 1993). No previously published study has assessed whether integration would occur into the genome of GM plants containing a CaMV 35S RNA promoter and that are hosts of CaMV. This study did not detect such integration in 944 samples taken from inoculated or systemically infected leaves, or from 2912 samples taken from the progeny of the inoculated plants. The lack of detection of CaMV integration does not demonstrate that CaMV is completely unable to integrate into plant genomes, but shows that such integration would have to occur at a level below our detection limit of one copy per 4000 haploid genomes or the equivalent of one in 2000 cells (0.05%). Integration, if it occurred at or below this level would have virtually no consequences for the plant, because changes in gene expression that might occur as a result of integration in 0.05% of the cells of a population would not affect the plant as a whole and could not even be measured above background variation. Indeed, infection of A. thaliana by CaMV itself induced expression of 291 genes and reduced expression of 64 genes (our unpublished data), making possible effects superimposed by integration at a measurable frequency difficult to evaluate, let alone by integration at an extremely low frequency. Therefore, the above data indicate that the presence of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter does not lead to prolific integration into the plant genomes of its hosts.

Since CaMV is not considered to be seed transmissible (Hull, 1984), the absence of integrated virus in the progeny plants of infected seedlings may not be surprising. However, as it was unknown if the presence of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter would affect viral entry into germline tissues and allow integration, we examined this possibility further here. The progeny seedlings of the host plants of CaMV (A. thaliana, N. benthamiana and OSR) did not show any evidence of integration. Moreover, the progeny seedlings were not infected with CaMV, indicating that the presence of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter in the germline did not affect seed transmission of the virus itself.

The observation that gene silencing occurred in OSR for genes driven by the CaMV 35S RNA promoter, after infection by CaMV (Al-Kaff et al., 1998) was certainly
a cause for concern. This was modulated somewhat by the observation that CaMV-mediated gene silencing depended on the strain of CaMV (Al-Kaff et al., 2000) and on the host (Dale and Al-Kaff, 2006). In the work presented here, we have shown that a strain of CaMV that did not induce systemic silencing of transgene expression in OSR also did not do so in two other systemic hosts of CaMV (A. thaliana and N. benthamiana), as well as in plant species (tobacco) with only localized infection. Highly localized loss of fluorescence was observed in severely-infected N. benthamiana leaves, but this did not lead to the systemic silencing reported for OSR by Al-Kaff et al. (1998; 2000). In many of the plant species tested, loss of fluorescence did occur in the oldest leaves, but this occurred to the same extent in CaMV-infected and mock-inoculated leaves and probably represented a reduction of gene expression as a result of senescence (Mitsuhara et al., 1996).

Overall, our results complement those of Al-Kaff and colleagues and together these studies show that CaMV-infection of plants expressing transgenes regulated by the CaMV 35S RNA promoter will result in silencing of transgene expression only under limited circumstances, depending on the strain of the virus and the host species being evaluated. While some strains will silence gene expression in some host species, other strains probably will not silence gene expression in a range of host species. In the cases where the GM plant species are not systemic hosts for CaMV, it appears that infection by CaMV will not lead to transgene silencing, since silencing correlates with partial or complete recovery from virus infection (Al-Kaff et al., 1998). Therefore, in the case of OSR, the only cruciferous GM crop being grown in the field to date, the extent of transgene silencing likely to occur would be the product of the incidence of infection by CaMV and the frequency of occurrence in those fields of CaMV strains that can silence transgenes. As the distribution of transgene-silencing strains of CaMV in OSR growing countries is unknown, it is not possible to determine as such to what extent this potential silencing is a cause of concern. However, the lack of any reports of herbicide susceptibility arising in OSR from those countries that grow herbicide-resistant OSR, let alone those that can be attributed to silencing by CaMV infection, would suggest that this is not a case for general concern.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**GM plants and propagation**

GM plants expressing the jellyfish GFP under the control of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter and the nos transcription termination signal were obtained from the following sources: A. thaliana ecotype C24 (Haseloff et al., 1997) was obtained from J. Haseloff (University of Cambridge); B. napus cv. Westar (Halfhill et al., 2001), and N. tabacum cv. Xanthi (Harper and Stewart, 2000) were obtained from C.N. Stewart, Jr. (Univ. of Tennessee); and N. benthamiana (Ruiz et al., 1998) was obtained from D.C. Baulcombe (Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich, UK). All of these plants showed green fluorescence when viewed under either a hand-held UV lamp or a fluorescence microscope. While the transgene copy number was not ascertained directly, the genes were inherited as single copy genes.

The various GM plants were all propagated under license in a contained greenhouse maintained at 22/18 °C (day/night) with 16 h of daylight supplemented with artificial lighting at 250 μmol.m⁻².s⁻¹. Seeds were collected from non-inoculated and CaMV-infected GM plants and were propagated in soil as for the parental plants.

**Viruses: source and maintenance**

Since most of the experiments had to be done with a CaMV strain that could infect A. thaliana, B. napus and N. benthamiana, but was not aphid transmissible, so as to eliminate the risk of accidental natural transmission, the genetically engineered strain of CaMV designated H7 (Schoelz et al., 1986a) was chosen. Strain H7 is derived from the aphid-non-transmissible strain CM1841, but contains gene VI and part of the large intergenic region from the strain D4 that capable of infecting the above host species. CaMV strain D4 was shown to be mildly virulent on several plant species (Schoelz et al., 1986b) as well as A. thaliana ecotype Col-0 (Yu et al., 2003). The natural strain designated W260 (Gracia and Shepherd, 1985) was used to infect tobacco plants, since only this severe strain was known to produce a local infection in tobacco. Both CaMV strains were inoculated to B. rapa (turnip, cv. Just Right) and were maintained in this host in the contained greenhouse. Extracts of the CaMV-infected turnip plants were used to inoculate the various GM seedlings.

**Extraction of DNA and PCR analysis**

Plant DNA was isolated from plant tissues as described by Cullen et al. (2001) and the DNA was used for PCR analysis. To increase the chances of detecting integration, eight leaves of each of the 10 A. thaliana plant were inoculated and each was extracted separately, while for the other plant species, one inoculated leaf or one systemically infected leaf of each of the 10 inoculated plants was sectored into eights, with each sector of a leaf extracted and analyzed separately.
To detect the presence of the 35S RNA promoter, the two PCR primers were used, both able to bind to the 35S RNA promoter region: the forward primer was 5'-GCTCTACCTAAATTCATC-3' (derived from nucleotide 7190–7208 of CaMV) and the reverse primer was 5'-GATATGGGATTGTGGTCA-3' (complementary to nucleotide 7383–7365). The PCR reactions were done by denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of incubation at 94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min, and a final incubation at 72 °C for 10 min.

To detect integration of CaMV into the 35S RNA promoter, the forward primer was 5'-GAGAACATAGAAAACCTCTC-3' (corresponding to nucleotides 5779–5801) in CaMV gene VI, approximately 1400 bp upstream of the CaMV 35S RNA promoter region), and the reverse primer was 5'-GGACACGCTAATTTGCGCCGTTC-3' (complementary to nucleotides 430–456 of the GFP gene sequence). The PCR reactions were done by denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of incubation at 94 °C for 30 sec, 50 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C for 1.5 min, and a final incubation at 72 °C for 10 min. The primers used were described above. To confirm the ability of the primers to amplify a putative recombinant product under these conditions, CaMV-GFP, a virus with gene II replaced by the GFP gene, was used as the template. CaMV-GFP (Love et al., 2007) was obtained from J.J. Milner (Glasgow University).

Detection and quantification of GFP fluorescence

The inoculated and control GM plants were assessed for GFP accumulation at 10-day intervals by examination of the level of fluorescence of the GFP under a hand-held, Blak Ray long-wavelength UV lamp (UV Products) in the first instance. Fluorescence was measured using a stereomicroscope (Leica) fitted with a GFP3 filter and a Coolview camera capable of recording the fluorescence intensity. For *A. thaliana*, the top-most fully-expanded leaf was detached from each plant at each time point for microscopic visualization and measurement of fluorescence intensity. For the other three plant species, 1 cm squares were taken from the top-most fully-expanded leaf of each plant and used for visualization and fluorescence quantification. Images were analyzed with ImagePro software, using chip integration of 15 frames and a gain setting of 18. The average intensity for all like plants was assessed at each time interval and the standard deviation of the fluorescence was calculated.
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